Regulations Do Change Eating Behavior

Should the Government Regulate What People Eat?, 2014

Marion Nestle is the Paulette Goddard Professor in the Department of Nutrition, Food Studies, and <u>Public Health</u> at New York University. She is author of *Why <u>Calories</u> Count: From Science to Politics*, as well as *Food Politics* and *What to Eat*. She also blogs at foodpolitics.com.

Despite complaints about the government regulation of food, one fact remains: it works. Since attempts to limit advertising have been protected as free speech, city governments have searched for new methods of creating healthier eating options for consumers. Banning items, research shows, allows easier access to healthy choices. In time, perhaps, even the federal government will adapt similar regulations.

My monthly, first Sunday column in the San Francisco Chronicle:

Q: I still don't get it. Why would a city government think that a food regulation would promote health when any one of them is so easy to evade?

A: Quick answer: because they work.

As I explained in my July discussion of Richmond's proposed soda tax, regulations make it easier for people to eat healthfully without having to think about it. They make the default choice the healthy choice. Most people choose the default, no matter what it is.

Telling people cigarettes cause cancer hardly ever got anyone to stop. But regulations did. Taxing cigarettes, banning advertising, setting age limits for purchases, and restricting smoking in airplanes, workplaces, bars and restaurants made it easier for smokers to stop.

Economists say, <u>obesity</u> and its consequences cost our society \$190 billion annually in health care and lost productivity, so health officials increasingly want to find equally effective strategies to discourage people from over-consuming sugary drinks and fast food.

Research backs up regulatory approaches. We know what makes us overeat: billions of dollars in advertising messages, food sold everywhere—in gas stations, vending machines, libraries and stores that sell clothing, books, office supplies, cosmetics and drugs—and huge portions of food at bargain prices.

Research also shows what sells food to kids: cartoons, celebrities, commercials on their favorite television programs, and toys in Happy Meals. This kind of marketing induces kids to want the products, pester their parents for them, and throw tantrums if parents say no. Marketing makes kids think they are supposed to eat advertised foods, and so undermines parental authority.

Public health officials look for ways to intervene, given their particular legislated mandates and

authority. But much as they might like to, they can't do much about marketing to children. Food and beverage companies invoke the First Amendment to protect their "right" to market junk foods to kids. They lobby Congress on this issue so effectively that they even managed to block the Federal Trade Commission's proposed nonbinding, voluntary nutrition standards for marketing food to kids.

Short of marketing restrictions, city officials are trying other options. They pass laws to require menu labeling for fast food, ban trans fats, prohibit toys in fast-food kids' meals and restrict junk foods sold in schools. They propose taxes on sodas and caps on soda sizes.

Research demonstrating the value of regulatory approaches is now pouring in.

The kids living in states where schools don't sell junk food are not as overweight.

Studies of the effects of menu labeling show that not everyone pays attention, but those who do are more likely to reduce their calorie purchases. Menu labels certainly change my behavior. Do I really want a 600-calorie breakfast muffin? Not today, thanks.

New York City's 2008 ban on use of hydrogenated oils containing trans fats means that New Yorkers get less trans fat with their fast food, even in low-income neighborhoods. Whether this reduction accounts for the recent decline in the city's rates of heart disease remains to be demonstrated, but getting rid of trans fats certainly hasn't hurt.

Canadian researchers report that kids are three times more likely to choose healthier meals if those meals come with a toy and the regular ones do not. When it comes to kids' food choices, the meal with the toy is invariably the default.

A recent study in *Pediatrics* compared obesity rates in kids living in states with and without restrictions on the kinds of foods sold in schools. Guess what—the kids living in states where schools don't sell junk food are not as overweight.

Circulation has just published an American Heart Association review of "evidence-based population approaches" to improving diets. It concludes that evidence supports the value of intense media campaigns, on-site educational programs in stores, subsidies for fruits and vegetables, taxes, school gardens, worksite wellness programs and restrictions on marketing to children.

The benefits of the approaches shown in these studies may appear small, but together they offer hope that current trends can be reversed.

Researchers also suggest other approaches, not yet tried. The Yale Rudd Center has just shown that color-coded food labels ("traffic lights") encourage healthier food choices.

And Rand Corp. researchers propose initiatives like those that worked for alcoholic beverages: Limit the density of fast-food outlets, ban sales in places that are not food stores, insist that supermarkets put junk foods and sodas where they are hard to see, ban drive-through sales, restrict portion sizes

and use warning labels.

These regulatory approaches are worth trying. If research continues to demonstrate their value, cities will have even more reason to use them. If the research becomes compelling enough, the federal government might need to act.

In the meantime, cities are leading the way, Richmond among them. Their initiatives are well worth trying, testing and supporting.

Further Readings

Books

- Wenonah Hauter*Foodopoly: The Battle Over the Future of Food and Farming in America*. New York: New Press, 2012.
- Kirk Kardashian *Milk Money: Cash, Cows, and the Death of the American Dairy Farm*. Durham, NH: University of New Hampshire Press, 2012.
- Frederick KaufmanBet the Farm: How Food Stopped Being Food. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley, 2012.
- John Lusk *The Food Police: A Well-Fed Manifesto About the Politics of Your Plate.* New York: Crown Forum, 2013.
- Michael Moss Salt Sugar Fat: How the Food Giants Hooked Us. New York: Random House, 2013.
- Marion NestleEat Drink Vote: An Illustrated Guide to Food Politics. New York: Rodale, 2012.
- Marion Nestle*Food Politics: How the Food Industry Influences Nutrition and Health.* Los Angeles: University of California Press, 2013.
- Marion Nestle and Malden Nesheim *Why Calories Count: From Science to Politics*. Los Angeles: University of California Press, 2012.
- Robert Paalberg*Food Politics: What Everyone Needs to Know.* New York: Oxford University, 2010.
- Michele Payn-Knoper*No More Food Fights! Growing a Productive Farm and Food Conversation.* Indianapolis, IN: Dog Ear, 2013.
- Michael PollanCooked: A Natural History of Transformation. New York: Penguin, 2013.
- Peter Pringle Food Inc.: Mendel to Monsanto. New York: Simon & Schuster, 2010.
- Marie-Monique Robin The World According to Monsanto. New York: New Press, 2012.
- Melanie Warner *Pandora's Lunchbox: How Processed Food Took Over the American Meal*. New York: Scribner, 2013.
- Parke WildeFood Policy in the United States: An Introduction. New York: Routledge, 2013.

Periodicals and Internet Sources

- Arkansas Business "Menus to Start Counting Calories," March 11, 2013.
- Lindsey Coblentz "Shaking Up the Soda Industry," *Food Manufacturing*, November-December 2012.
- Richard Corbett "Soft Drinks—Industry Can Avoid Rising Tide of Regulations," just-drinks.com,

May 24, 2013. www.just-drinks.com.

- Vanessa Drucker "Fizzy Drinks and the US Economy," FundWeb, November 5, 2012. www.fundweb.co.uk.
- Economist "The Nanny State's Biggest Test: Government Intervention," December 15, 2012.
- Entertainment Close-up "Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics Praises USDA's Changes to Standards for All Foods Sold in School," July 7, 2013.
- Krystal Gabert "Safety First: Disasters, Mishaps, and Recalls," Food Manufacturing, June 2013.
- Julie Gunlock "Big Brother at Your Table," National Review, May 20, 2013.
- Dean Heyl "IFA Fighting Discriminatory Chain and Fast-Food Restaurant Ordinances and Laws," *Franchising World*, June 2013.
- Jack Kenny "Profit from Regulations: The Continuing Expansion of Nutritional Labeling Means Business for Converters," Label & Narrow Web, March 13, 2013. www.labelandnarrowweb.com.
- Adam Leyland "'Our Industry Is Suffering from Health Regulation Fatigue,'" *Grocer*, March 30, 2013.
- Maclean's "A Surprisingly Refreshing Aftertaste," March 25, 2013.
- *Modern Healthcare* "Regulatory Morass: Lawmakers Work to Clarify Policies for Compounders," November 19, 2012.
- Money Life "Sweet Idols—Part 1: Are You Eating More Sugar than Required?" July 1, 2013.
- Money Life "Sugar Idols—Part 2: How Celebrities Promote Harmful Sugary Drinks," July 2, 2013.
- Self "Sure Looks Like Feel-Good Food," August 2012.
- Jacob Sullum "The Peril of Palatability: A Former FDA Chief Sounds the Alarm About Dangerously Delicious Food," *Reason*, November 2009.
- Michael Tennant "Globesity: How Globalists Are Feeding Off the Obesity Crisis," *New American*, February 4, 2013.
- Connie Tipton "Shed the Programs that Impede Innovations and Growth," *Dairy Foods*, November 2012.

Full Text: COPYRIGHT 2014 Greenhaven Press, a part of Gale, Cengage Learning.

Source Citation

Nestle, Marion. "Regulations Do Change Eating Behavior." 2012. Rpt. in Should the Government Regulate What People Eat? Ed. Ronald D. Lankford, Jr. Farmington Hills, MI: Greenhaven Press, 2014. At Issue. Opposing Viewpoints in Context. Web. 18 Feb. 2015.

Document URL

http://ic.galegroup.com/ic/ovic/ViewpointsDetailsPage/ViewpointsDetailsWindow?fa ilOverType=&query=&prodId=OVIC&windowstate=normal&contentModules =&display-query=&mode=view&displayGroupName=Viewpoints&limiter=& amp;currPage=&disableHighlighting=false&displayGroups=&sortBy=&s earch_within_results=&p=OVIC&action=e&catId=&activityType=&s canId=&documentId=GALE%7CEJ3010896207&source=Bookmark&u=usfca_gleeso n&jsid=1dbb4ae31b25d5445f0d7629fadf31bf Gale Document Number: GALE|EJ3010896207